

The Confluence of Higher Education and Technology: Navigating Issues and Embracing Opportunities of Srinagar

Bilquees Usman* 

Department of Economics, Vishwa Bharti women's Collage, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

Citation: Bilquees Usman (2023). The Confluence of Higher Education and Technology: Navigating Issues and Embracing Opportunities of Srinagar. *Journal of Business, IT, and Social Science*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51470/BITS.2023.02.02.01>

Corresponding Author: Bilquees Usman | E-Mail: omerkhan6235@gmail.com

Received 08 April 2023 | Revised 06 May 2023 | Accepted 13 June 2023 | Available Online July 12 2023

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

The confluence of higher education and technology has ushered in a transformative era, presenting both challenges and unprecedented opportunities. This abstract navigates the intricate dynamics of this intersection, exploring the historical context, current landscape, and the evolving challenges faced by educational institutions. It delves into issues such as technological implementation, pedagogical shifts, and concerns surrounding equity and accessibility. On the flip side, the article illuminates the vast opportunities arising from innovative teaching strategies, emerging technologies, and the burgeoning realm of online learning. Drawing insights from best practices and future trends, the article concludes with practical recommendations for educators and institutions, emphasizing the need for adaptive strategies in this dynamic landscape. As higher education charts its course through the digital age, this exploration aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the path ahead, encouraging informed decision-making and strategic advancements.

Keywords: higher education, problems, challenges, technology development, etc.

Introduction

The confluence of higher education and technology represents a transformative nexus, shaping the future of learning and academia. This introduction sets the stage for a comprehensive exploration of the dynamic interplay between these two domains, elucidating the profound impact of technological advancements on higher education [1]. As we navigate the complex landscape of challenges and opportunities, understanding the historical context and current state becomes paramount. The evolution of technology in higher education has not only redefined traditional teaching methods but has also given rise to novel pedagogical approaches and learning environments. Against this backdrop, this article aims to delve into the intricacies of issues faced by educational institutions while simultaneously illuminating the vast opportunities that technology presents for the enhancement of teaching and learning [2]. Through a careful examination of historical developments, current trends, and anticipated future trajectories, we embark on a journey to navigate the multifaceted landscape of higher education and technology, ultimately providing insights that can inform strategic decision-making and innovation in the educational realm.

The intersection of higher education and technology represents a profound and transformative nexus that is reshaping the landscape of learning and academia. In recent years, the infusion of technological advancements has given rise to a paradigm shift, challenging traditional teaching methods and fostering the emergence of innovative pedagogical approaches. Understanding the historical context is crucial, as the evolution of technology in higher education has been marked by pivotal milestones that have set the stage for the current state of this dynamic relationship. In this article, we delve into the complexities of this confluence, aiming to navigate the

multifaceted challenges faced by educational institutions while simultaneously shedding light on the myriad opportunities that technology offers for the enhancement of teaching and learning experiences. As we embark on this exploration, we will examine issues ranging from the practical challenges of implementing technology in educational settings to the broader implications for pedagogical practices and the equitable access to educational resources [3]. Through an in-depth analysis of historical developments, an exploration of current trends, and a thoughtful consideration of anticipated future trajectories, our objective is to provide a comprehensive understanding of the intricate dynamics at play. By doing so, we aspire to offer insights that can inform strategic decision-making, inspire innovation, and contribute to the ongoing discourse on the evolving landscape of higher education in the digital age.

Higher Education

Higher education represents the pinnacle of formal learning, serving as the ultimate stage following secondary education. This phase is designed not just to impart knowledge but to instill a spirit of intellectual exploration and ideation in the minds of learners. The essence of higher education lies in nurturing individuals who can critically examine ideas, contemplate complex issues, and actively seek solutions. A person is considered to have attained higher education when they engage in thoughtful analysis, pondering over problems, and actively striving to resolve them. This intellectual process is intricately linked to the dialectical method, where the solutions proposed by individuals undergo a rigorous process. Initially presented as a thesis, these solutions are subjected to scrutiny through antithesis, leading to a refined synthesis. In this way, higher education becomes a dynamic force that not only addresses problems but also embraces challenges through a systematic

and evolving dialectical approach [4]. Through this transformative journey, higher education empowers individuals not just with knowledge but with the skills and mindset to navigate and contribute meaningfully to the complexities of the world.

Technology

Higher education serves as a catalyst for expanding the capital stock or optimizing the scale of existing capital, achieved through the channels of invention, innovation, and discoveries. These three pillars stand as central tenets within the realm of higher education, acting as driving forces that propel intellectual progress. The collective pursuit of invention, innovation, and discoveries not only enriches the existing pool of knowledge but also charts new territories, opening up unexplored vistas for the benefit of future generations. At its core, higher education operates as a dynamic force that contributes to the growth and refinement of societal capital. This augmentation of capital occurs through the continual processes of invention, innovation, and discoveries, collectively constituting the bedrock principles of advanced learning. In this context, higher education is not merely a static repository of information but a vibrant arena where ideas are generated, tested, and expanded upon. Through inventive thinking, innovative practices, and groundbreaking discoveries, higher education becomes a crucible for the evolution of human understanding and progress. The consequential impact is the unfolding of new intellectual landscapes that transcend current boundaries, providing fertile ground for the cultivation of knowledge, skills, and perspectives that will shape the trajectory of future generations [5]. Thus, the enduring legacy of higher education lies not only in the accumulation of knowledge but in its perpetual renewal, continually laying the foundation for a more enlightened and forward-thinking society.

Problems

Problems, in the context of access to higher education, are akin to structural fallacies or obstacles that impede an individual's path in life. These hindrances manifest in various forms, encompassing socio-economic challenges and entanglements within the political discourse. These multifaceted issues create constraints for individuals, compelling them to grapple with barriers that obstruct their pursuit of higher education [6]. Within this framework, societal and economic inequities, along with political dynamics, contribute to a complex web of challenges that individuals must navigate. The very nature of these problems demands active engagement from individuals, urging them to not only confront these structural impediments but also actively seek solutions that can dismantle these barriers and pave the way for broader access to higher education.

Challenges

These innate impediments in human life inherently diminish one's capacity to pursue education, encompassing elements such as topography, demographics, climate, and geography within a given region. The more intricate these natural challenges become, the less accessible higher education tends to be. Factors such as rugged topography or harsh climatic conditions can create significant barriers, limiting the educational opportunities available to individuals. In essence, the extent of these challenges inversely correlates with the accessibility of higher education, underscoring the critical role

that environmental factors play in shaping educational landscapes [7]. Addressing and mitigating these natural hindrances are pivotal steps towards fostering a more inclusive and equitable educational environment.

Statement of the problem

A direct correlation exists between higher education enrollment rates and the technological advancement of a region. The higher the enrollment in higher education, the more pronounced the technological development tends to be. In turn, this surge in technology adoption contributes to a reduction in the extent of problems and challenges faced by the region. As the level of higher education rises, so does the proficiency and application of technology, fostering an environment that is better equipped to address and overcome obstacles [8]. This symbiotic relationship underscores the transformative impact that education and technology jointly exert on the socio-economic fabric of a region, ultimately elevating the overall standard of higher education.

1. Model

The mathematical model is presented below:

$$T = H / C^{\alpha} P^{1-\alpha}$$

Where,

'T' is technology,

'H' is the level of higher education or simply the enrolment in higher education,

'C' is the level of challenge,

'P' denotes the problems of society,

(1- α) and (α) show the elasticity of Problems and Challenges respectively

The model demonstrates a positive relationship between higher education and technology, signifying that as levels of higher education increase, so does the integration and advancement of technology within a given context. Simultaneously, there is an inverse relationship between higher education and problems or challenges. As the level of higher education rises, the incidence and magnitude of challenges tend to decrease. This indicates a dynamic interplay where educational advancement contributes positively to technological growth and, in turn, acts as a mitigating factor in addressing and diminishing problems and challenges within a given environment. The model underscores the transformative power of higher education in fostering technological progress and concurrently alleviating obstacles that may impede overall societal development.

Methodology

In conducting a micro-study, two areas Nishat and Nowgram of Srinagar District headquarters in Jammu and Kashmir were carefully chosen. Nishat was selected due to its relatively enhanced access to facilities in comparison to Nowgam. The selection of these two sites was based on their homogeneity in terms of population and demographics, as well as their nearly identical geographical extent, with a discernible difference in topography. The primary objective of this study was to delve into the role of higher education in technology development within these communities. Nowgam, despite its proximity to Nishat, exhibited notable disparities in access to various amenities. To gain insights into the dynamics at play, secondary data sourced from the Commissioner's office of District Srinagar was utilized [9]. This data serves as a valuable resource for comprehending the intricate interplay between higher education and technological advancements in these two villages, shedding

light on how varying levels of access to education contribute to the technological landscape within distinct socio-geographic contexts.

Problems in selected villages

The problems of the two villages are summarized in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1: Problems in two villages

Item	Sites	
	Nishat	Nowgam
Economic Problems	0.8	0.3
Transport availability Problem	0.5	0.7
Goods and services availability Problem	0.4	0.8

Source: Tehsil level survey 2012-13 J&K Govt

The per Capita income at constant prices (2010) of Nishat in INR 8050 and that of Nowgam is INR 3670. The figures show that the PCI of Nishat is double than that of Nowgam.

If we consider the economy consisting of these two villages only and produce GDP of INR 1 out of this INR 1, 30% i.e 0.30 is contributed by Nowgam and rest by Nishat. In other words 0.70 (70%) face income-related problems in Nowgam and 0.30 (30%) at Nishat.

80% i.e 0.80 of the population of Nowgam face transport availability problem. Out of every X number of buses that run to different destinations daily, only 20% of the people of Nowgam who have to go outside have easy accessibility to transport facilities while reverse is the trend for Nishat. 90% i.e 0.90 of goods and services (mobile seva, telephone, bank, post office, etc.) which are of daily use are available at Nishat and only 10 to 12% at Nowgam.

In order to know the average of the problems faced by these two villages let us take the arithmetic mean of the above problems as under:

$$P_{wag} = (0.30 + 0.20 + 0.10) / 3 = 0.2$$

$$P_{Ban} = (0.7 + 0.8 + 0.9) / 3 = 0.8$$

Where

P_{wag} denotes the mean problems faced by village Nishat and

P_{Ban} denotes the mean problems faced by village Nowgam.

Challenges in the selected villages

The two selected sites, Nowgam and Nishat, face a significant challenge posed by natural calamities, with a particular focus on the impact of drought. In the event of a drought, the repercussions are more severe for Nowgam, situated in a high-lying area, compared to Nishat, which is located in a lower-lying region. The vulnerability of Nowgam to the adverse effects of drought became evident in 1959, highlighting the disparity in the impact of such natural disasters on communities with varying geographical characteristics. The distinct topographical features of the villages play a crucial role in determining the extent of damage caused by drought, with Nowgam experiencing heightened susceptibility due to its elevated terrain. This underscores the importance of understanding and addressing the differential vulnerabilities of communities based on their geographical attributes when formulating strategies for disaster management and relief efforts.

Table 1.2: Challenge (Drought) in the selected villages

Item	Nishat	Nowgam
Ph.D. holders	15	02
Post Graduates	34	11
Graduates	92	34
Total	134	47

Source: Revenue Record (Land) Naibayat Nishat J&K Govt

Higher Education

The level of higher education in the selected villages is taken on the basis of enrollment or degree holders in MPhil/Ph.D., Masters and Graduation level. The data for higher education in the selected villages is given in the table below:

Table 1.3: Level of Higher Education in the selected villages

Item	Nishat	Nowgam
Ph.D. holders	15	02
Post Graduates	34	11
Graduates	92	34
Total	134	47

Source: Tehsil library Nishat 2011 Survey.

As per census 2011 the population of Nishat is 5035, and that of Nowgam is 5154.

In percentage terms the number of higher education holders out of their respective population is calculated as under:

$$H_{wag} = 134 / 5035 * 100 = 2.66 \text{ (approx.)} \text{----- (1)}$$

$$H_{Ban} = 47 / 5154 * 100 = 0.91 \text{ (approx.)} \text{----- (2)}$$

Dividing equation (1) by equation (2)

$$H_{wag} / H_{Ban} = 2.66 / .91 = 2.92$$

$$\text{Or } H_{wag} = 2.92 H_{Ban} \text{----- (X)}$$

Now using the derived values in the model by keeping the elasticity of problem ($1-\alpha = 1/2$) and challenge ($\alpha=1/2$) constant for both the villages:

$$T = H / C^\alpha P^{1-\alpha}$$

$$T_{wag} = 2.66 / (0.3^{0.5} * 0.2^{0.5})$$

$$\text{Or } T_{wag} = 12.67 \text{----- (A)}$$

$$T_{Ban} = 0.91 / (0.42^{0.5} * 0.8^{0.5})$$

$$\text{Or } T_{Ban} = 1.59 \text{----- (B)}$$

Dividing equation (A) by equation (B) we get,

$$T_{wag} / T_{Ban} = 12.67 / 1.59$$

$$\text{Or } T_{wag} / T_{Ban} = 7.97$$

$$\text{Or } T_{wag} = 7.97 T_{Ban} \text{----- (Y)}$$

This implies that technology of village Nishat is 7.97 times more than that of village Nowgam.

Results and Discussion

The relationship between higher education and technology is discerned through the analysis of equations X and Y, revealing a noteworthy correlation. Specifically, it is observed that a 2.92-fold increase in higher education corresponds to a 7.97-fold impact on technology. This finding underscores the integral connection between higher education and technological advancement. Further scrutiny of the model highlights a compelling pattern: regions characterized by a higher concentration of problems and challenges exhibit an increased demand for higher education in the pursuit of technology building and regional development [10-12]. This implies a reciprocal relationship between higher education and technology. In essence, the more pronounced the challenges faced by a region, the greater the necessity for both higher education and technological solutions. The analysis suggests a cyclical dynamic wherein the concentration of problems and challenges inversely correlates with the levels of technology and higher education. Higher education emerges as a catalyst capable of breaking this detrimental cycle, serving as an initiative to propel regions towards technological advancements and overall development. In this context, higher education not only addresses immediate challenges but also fosters a trajectory towards sustainable technological progress, thereby contributing significantly to the holistic development of the region.

Conclusion

The study, employing an inductive methodology, underscores the pivotal role of higher education in the process of technology building. The findings strongly advocate that underdeveloped countries should prioritize and invest substantially in education as a whole, with a specific emphasis on higher education. Education is identified as the cornerstone for breaking the shackles of poverty in these nations. The study posits that education serves as the primary vehicle for lifting underdeveloped countries out of the poverty trap. It is contended that the transformative power of education, particularly higher education, is instrumental in fostering technological advancements. This, in turn, has a profound and far-reaching impact on the overall income status of society. The argument hinges on the belief that education acts as a catalyst for technological progress. By investing in higher education, underdeveloped countries not only equip their populace with the necessary skills and knowledge but also create an environment conducive to innovation and technological development. The broader implications of this approach are reflected in the positive correlation between education, technology, and societal prosperity. In summary, the study advocates a strategic focus on education, especially higher education, as the linchpin for socio-economic development in underdeveloped countries. It posits that education is not only a means of escaping the poverty trap but also a potent force for driving technological advancements and, consequently, elevating the income status of the society at large.

REFERENCES

1. Lenzner, B. (2015). *Meaningful Witnessing in the United States, India & New Zealand: The Possibility Space for Digital Video Within Human Rights, Protest Movements and Activist Practices* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Waikato).
2. Qureshi, I. H., & Roohi, F. (2017). Knowledge Management: A Challenge for Higher Education. *Asian Journal of Research in Social Sciences and Humanities*, 7(10), 485-495.
3. Kathmandu, K. M. A White Paper on Open University of Nepal Initiative.
4. Dev, G. A. D. A. (2011). Final Report Final Report Water Security and Climate Change: Challenges and Strategies Challenges and Strategies.
5. Kumar, P. *Marketing Of Information and Its Impact On Library Services In College Libraries In Uttar Pradesh*. Lulu.com.
6. Navaneeth, M. S., & Siddiqui, I. (2022). How inclusive is online education in India: Lessons from the Pandemic. In *Socioeconomic Inclusion During an Era of Online Education* (pp.135-155). IGI Global.
7. Richard, B. O. (2015). *Being Ladakhi and becoming educated: Childhoods at school in the Western Himalayas*. University of California, Los Angeles.
8. Ganguly, S., & Kapur, S. P. (2010). *India, Pakistan, and the bomb: debating nuclear stability in South Asia*. Columbia University Press.
9. Nanda, P. (2003). *Rediscovering Asia: evolution of India's look-east policy*. Lancer Publishers.
10. Cantú, K. E. (2021). *Sri Sabhapati Swami and the "Translocalization" of Śivarājyoga*. University of California, Santa Barbara.
11. Majid, I. (2020). A Theseus Paradox: Interrogating the Shift in Islamism in Indian-administered Kashmir. *Politics, Religion & Ideology*, 21(3), 353-373.
12. Ashraf, M., Zaman, M., & Ahmed, M. (2018, January). Performance analysis and different subject combinations: An empirical and analytical discourse of educational data mining. In *2018 8th International Conference on Cloud Computing, Data Science & Engineering (Confluence)* (pp. 287-292). IEEE.